JS 44 (Rev. 04/21)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS						
Guy M. DeBord and Lucinda S.DeBord				Perdue Farms, Inc., et al.						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Lancaster, PA				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Wicomico, MD						
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(a) Attornavia (C:	Allers and Talentons Mount	1		Attorneys (If Kno		LANDIN	TVOLVED.			
	Address, and Telephone Numb quire/Sarah F. Doo		initi	Attorneys (1) Kill	way					
	et Street, Suite 371									
215-602-4204	I CTI ON		# CV	DIZENCIAL OF	n nn r	NOTE	Y IN A NOTICE CO.			
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	III. CI	FIZENSHIP OI (For Diversity Cases O		NCIPA		(Place an "X" in and One Box for :		
U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citize	en of This State	PTF X 1	DEF 1	Incorporated or Proof Business In		PTF	DEF 4
U.S. Government Defendant	X 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizens)	hip of Parties in Item III)	Citiza	en of Another State	□ 2	X 2	Incorporated and of Business In A		<u> </u>	X 5
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3] 3	Foreign Nation		6	□6 ———
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ORTS	1 50	ORFEITURE/PENALT			for: Nature of S		STATUT	
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		5 Drug Related Seizure			peal 28 USC 158	375 False 0		
120 Marine 130 Miller Act	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product	365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	F.	of Property 21 USC 8 0 Other	881	423 Wit	hdrawal USC 157	376 Qui Ta 3729(a		С
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	367 Health Care/	L.	o Calier		INTE	LLECTUAL	400 State R	Reapportion	unent
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury	- 1		F	820 Cop	ERTY RIGHTS	410 Antitru 430 Banks		ng
151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability	- 1		E	830 Pate	ent	450 Comm	erce	
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product			-		nt - Abbreviated Drug Application	460 Deport	eer Influe	
(Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment	345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPER	ry 📙	LABOR	_	840 Trac	demark end Trade Secrets	480 Consu	t Organiza mer Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits	x 350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud		0 Fair Labor Standards	_		of 2016	_	SC 1681 or	1692)
160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal	72	Act 0 Labor/Management	-	SOCIA	L SECURITY		tion Act	unei
195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage	74	Relations 0 Railway Labor Act	F		k (1395ff) ck Lung (923)	490 Cable/ 850 Securit		odities/
[] (70) Tançinse	362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability		1 Family and Medical	F	863 DIV	VC/DIWW (405(g))	Excha	nge	
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	NS 79	Leave Act O Other Labor Litigation	n F	4	D Title XVI (405(g))	890 Other 5	_	
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus:	79	I Employee Retirement Income Security Act		FENED	AL TAX SUITS	893 Enviro		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate		medice Security Act		870 Tax	es (U.S. Plaintiff	Act	•	matton
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General			-	-	Defendant) —Third Party	896 Arbitra 899 Admin		rocedure
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer, w/Disabilities	535 Death Penalty	146	IMMIGRATION		26	USC 7609		view or A	
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities	Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe		2 Naturalization Applic 5 Other Immigration	ation			950 Consti		of
	Other 448 Education	550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition		Actions				State S	tatutes	
	Γ	560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of			- 1					
		Confinement								
	n One Box Only) noved from 3 te Court	Remanded from Appellate Court] ⁴ Reins Reop		nsferre		6 Multidistr		Multidis Litigatio	
Proceeding 5ta	te Court	Appenate Court	Ксор		ecify)	istrice	Transfer		Direct F	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	28 USC 1332(a)	atute under which you ar	e filing <i>(I</i>	o not cite jurisdictiona	il statute	s unless di	versity):			
	Brief description of C	ause: k Plaintiff with work vehicl	le							
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 23, F.R.Cv.P.	•	EMAND S excess of \$150,000			HECK YES only URY DEMAND:	_	1 complai	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE				DOCK	ET NUMBER	_		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT	TO NEY (F RECORD						
May 1, 2025										
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		X	1							
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING a P	0	JUDG	E		MAG. JU	DGE		

Case 5:25-cv-02204-JFL Filed 05/01/25 -JFL Document 1 Filed 05/0 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Page 2 of 15

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

	DESIGNATION	N FORM				
Place of	Accident, Incident, or Transaction: Lancaster, PA					
RELA	TED CASE IF ANY: Case Number:	_ Judge:				
1.	Does this case involve property included in an earlier numbere	ed suit?	Yes			
2.	2. Does this case involve a transaction or occurrence which was the subject of an earlier numbered suit?					
3.	3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent which was the subject of an earlier numbered suit? Yes					
4.	4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus petition, social security appeal, or pro se case filed by the same individual?					
5.	5. Is this case related to an earlier numbered suit even though none of the above categories apply? Yes If yes, attach an explanation.					
	that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the within case n this court.	is / is not related to any pending or previously to	rminated			
Civil I	tigation Categories					
CIVII L	Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:				
I certifi	 Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts) FELA Jones Act-Personal Injury Antitrust Wage and Hour Class Action/Collective Action Patent Copyright/Trademark Employment Labor-Management Relations Civil Rights Habeas Corpus Securities Cases Social Security Review Cases Qui Tam Cases Cases Seeking Systemic Relief *see certification below* All Other Federal Question Cases. (Please specify): 	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. All Other Diversity Cases: (Please specify) ought in this case does / does not have implied	eations			
federal	the parties before the court and \square does / \boxtimes does not seek to law including a rule, regulation, policy, or order of the executive nt and/or any form of injunctive relief.					
	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (C.	HECK ONLY ONE BOX BELOW)				
x money	that, to the best of my knowledge and belief: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2(3), this case is not eligible for arbitramages sought are in excess of \$150,000 exclusive of interest and cost of a right secured by the U.S. Constitution, or (4) jurisdiction is based	s; (3) it is a social security case, includes a prisoner as a part				
	None of the restrictions in Local Civil Rule 53.2 apply and this case is	s eligible for arbitration.				

NOTE: A trial de novo will be by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

GUY M. DeBORD and LUCINDA S. DeBORD, h/w 752 Southview Drive Landisville, PA 17538

v.

PERDUE FARMS, INC. c/o Corporation Service Company 2595 Interstate Drive, #103 Harrisburg, PA 17110

and

PERDUE FOODS LLC c/o Corporation Service Company 2595 Interstate Drive, #103 Harrisburg, PA 17110

and

PERDUE FOODS, INC. c/o Corporation Service Company 2595 Interstate Drive, #103 Harrisburg, PA 17110

and

PERDUE AGRIBUSINESS LLC c/o Corporation Service Company 2595 Interstate Drive, #103 Harrisburg, PA 17110

and

PERDUE AGRIBUSINESS GRAIN LLC c/o Corporation Service Company 2595 Interstate Drive, #103 Harrisburg, PA 17110

and

ANTHONY COTTON, SR.	
7755 Old Westover Marion Road	
Westover, MD 21871	

PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs, Guy M. DeBord and Lucinda S. DeBord, by and through their attorneys, Fulginiti Law, state the following by way of Complaint:

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff, Guy M. DeBord, is an adult individual who, at all relevant times resided at 752 Southview Drive, Landisville, PA 17538.
- 2. Plaintiff, Lucinda S. DeBord, is an adult individual who, at all relevant times, resided at 752 Southview Drive, Landisville, PA 17538.
- 3. At all times relevant hereto, Guy M. DeBord and Lucinda S. DeBord were and are married.
- Defendant, Perdue Farms, Inc., is a Maryland corporation with a registered agent at 2595
 Interstate Drive, Suite 103, Harrisburg, PA 17110.
- 5. Defendant, Perdue Foods, LLC, is a Maryland limited liability company with a registered agent at 2595 Interstate Drive, Suite 103, Harrisburg, PA 17110.
- Defendant, Perdue Foods, Inc., is a Maryland corporation with a registered agent at 2595
 Interstate Drive, Suite 103, Harrisburg, PA 17110.
- 7. Defendant, Perdue Agribusiness LLC, is a Maryland limited liability company with a registered agent at 2595 Interstate Drive, Suite 103, Harrisburg, PA 17110.
- 8. Defendant, Perdue Agribusiness Grain LLC, is a Maryland limited liability company with a registered agent at 2595 Interstate Drive, Suite 103, Harrisburg, PA 17110.

- 9. Hereinafter, defendant Perdue Farms, Inc., Perdue Foods, LLC, Perdue Foods, Inc., Perdue Agribusiness, LLC, and Perdue Agribusiness Grain, LLC, shall be referred to collectively as "Perdue."
- Defendant, Anthony Cotton, Sr. is an adult individual who, at all relevant times resided at
 7755 Old Westover Marion Road, Westover, MD 21871.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 11. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332(a), as no Plaintiff shares a state of citizenship with any defendant.
- 12. The amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of costs and fees.

MATERIAL FACTS

- 13. At all times relevant hereto, Perdue regularly, continuously, and systematically conducted business in the County and City of Philadelphia, as well as in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 14. At all times relevant hereto, Perdue was involved in the processing and distribution of chicken, turkey, and pork.
- 15. At all times relevant hereto, Perdue employed Anthony Cotton, Sr. as a truck driver.
- 16. On April 8, 2024, Mr. DeBord was working as a security guard for Kunzler & Company, Inc., which is located at 652 Manor Street, Lancaster, PA 17604, when a Perdue truck driven by Anthony Cotton, Sr. arrived at the premises.
- 17. One of Mr. DeBord's responsibilities, as a security guard, was to direct trucks, such as the Perdue truck, to the loading dock.

- 18. While Mr. DeBord was standing in a safe location and directing the Perdue truck to the loading dock, the truck suddenly moved, crushing Mr. DeBord's hand between the trailer and the wall.
- 19. At all times relevant hereto, Mr. DeBord, was standing in a safe location.
- 20. At all times relevant hereto, Mr. DeBord was following proper safety protocol.
- 21. Upon information and belief, defendant Cotton saw Mr. DeBord while he was backing the Perdue truck into the loading dock.
- 22. Anthony Cotton should not have moved the Perdue truck unless it was safe to do so.
- 23. Anthony Cotton should not have moved his truck when it was unsafe to do so, thus violating 75 Pa.C.S.§3333.
- 24. At all times relevant hereto, defendants acted, or failed to act, individually and through their agents, ostensible agents, borrowed servants, workmen, contractors and/or employees all in the course and scope of such relationships.
- 25. At all times relevant hereto, defendants, their agents, servants, workmen, contractors, and/or employees, were obligated to exercise reasonable care.
- 26. At all times relevant hereto, defendants, their agents, servants, workmen, contractors, and/or employees, failed to exercise reasonable care.
- 27. As a direct and proximate result of the conduct of the defendants, Mr. DeBord suffered serious and permanent personal injuries, including, but not limited to, a crush injury to his right hand resulting in numerous fractures, lacerations, and soft tissue damage.
- 28. The injuries Mr. DeBord sustained will result in permanent limitations and restrictions.
- 29. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned negligence, carelessness, and/or recklessness of the defendants, medical bills have been generated in the past and will

- continue to be generated into the future as a result of the necessary treatment of Mr. DeBord's injuries.
- 30. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned negligence, carelessness, and/or recklessness of the defendants, Mr. DeBord has suffered embarrassment, humiliation, disfigurement, pain and suffering.
- 31. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned negligence, carelessness, and/or recklessness of the defendants, Mr. DeBord has suffered in the past the loss of wage-related income and benefits and will continue to suffer in the future the loss of wages and impairment of his earning capacity and power.
- 32. As a result of the aforementioned negligence, carelessness, and/or recklessness of the defendants, Mr. DeBord has and will be in the future hindered from attending and performing his usual daily duties, activities, and recreational and social pursuits.
- 33. Mr. DeBord's harm, injuries, suffering, and damages are all a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned negligence, carelessness, and/or recklessness of the defendants.

COUNT I – NEGLIGENCE GUY DeBORD v. PERDUE FARMS, INC., PERDUE FOODS LLC, PERDUE FOODS, INC., PERDUE ARGRIBUSINESS LLC, and, PERDUE AGRIBUSINESS GRAIN, LLC

- 34. Plaintiff incorporates, by reference, all preceding paragraphs, as if set forth herein at length.
- 35. The injuries sustained by Mr. DeBord were caused by, and resulted from, the negligence, carelessness, and/or recklessness of Perdue, jointly and/or severally, by and through their respective agents, servants, subagents, employees, contractors, subcontractors, and/or representatives.

- 36. The negligence, carelessness, and/or recklessness of Perdue, jointly and/or severally, by and through their respective agents, servants, subagents, employees, contractors, subcontractors, and/or representatives, consisted of, but is not limited to, the following:
 - a. Failing to operate a truck with due regard for the rights and/or safety of Plaintiff and others similarly situated;
 - b. Failing to operate a truck with due regard for the conditions which were existing and of which Perdue was or reasonably should have been aware of at the time of this accident;
 - c. Failing to have said truck under proper and adequate control at the time of the accident;
 - d. Backing the truck in issue into Mr. DeBord when it was not safe to do so;
 - e. Failing to follow signals when Perdue knew or should have known it was required to follow Mr. DeBord's hand signals;
 - f. Failing to follow signals when Perdue knew or should have known that it was necessary to follow Mr. DeBord's hand signals to prevent injury to persons in Mr. DeBord's position;
 - g. Backing the subject truck into Mr. DeBord's hand;
 - h. Moving the truck prior to being permitted to move the truck;
 - i. Failing to keep a proper lookout;
 - j. Failing to maintain said truck in proper operating condition;
 - k. Failing to inspect said truck;
 - 1. Failing to be aware of the area around the truck before moving it;
 - m. Failing to follow procedures of the loading dock area;

- n. Failing to ensure no individuals were in the vicinity of the truck when it was moved;
- o. Disregarding warnings against moving the truck;
- p. Backing in unsafely;
- q. Failing to hire individuals who were fit for the positions for which they were hired;
- r. Failing to properly evaluate candidates for hire;
- s. Negligently entrusting a truck to the truck operator;
- t. Failing to properly investigate and evaluate agents, workmen, employees, and/or servants;
- u. Failing to properly train agents, workmen, employees, and/or servants;
- v. Failing to timely terminate agents, workmen, employees, and/or servants who lacked the requisite skills to perform their jobs safely;
- w. Failing to properly supervise agents, workmen, employees, and/or servants;
- x. Failing to adopt and follow proper policies and procedures;
- y. Permitting or authorizing a commercial truck owned by Perdue and/or under its exclusive dominion and control to be operated in this Commonwealth by a person who is not authorized and was not capable of safely operating the truck at the time of the accident;
- z. Violating Part 383 of the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations, concerning commercial driver's license standards, requirements, and penalties;
- aa. Violating Part 385 of the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations, concerning safety fitness procedures of motor carriers;
- bb. Violating Part 390 of the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations, concerning general safety regulations;

- cc. Violating Part 392 of the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations, concerning the driving of commercial motor vehicles; and
- dd. Otherwise failing to exercise due care when it was reasonably foreseeable that Perdue's failure to do so threatened the health and safety of persons in Mr. DeBord's position.
- 37. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned negligence, carelessness, and/or recklessness of Perdue, individually and by and through its agents, representatives, servants, and workman, Mr. DeBord suffered serious and permanent personal injuries, including, but not limited to, a crush injury to his right hand resulting in numerous fractures, lacerations, and soft tissue damage.
- 38. The injuries Mr. DeBord sustained will result in permanent limitations and restrictions.
- 39. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned negligence, carelessness, and/or recklessness of the defendants, medical bills have been generated in the past and will continue to be generated into the future as a result of the necessary treatment of Mr. DeBord's injuries.
- 40. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned negligence, carelessness, and/or recklessness of the defendants, Mr. DeBord has suffered embarrassment, humiliation, disfigurement, pain and suffering.
- 41. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned negligence, carelessness, and/or recklessness of the defendants, Mr. DeBord has suffered in the past the loss of wage-related income and benefits and will continue to suffer in the future the loss of wages and impairment of his earning capacity and power.

- 42. As a result of the aforementioned negligence, carelessness, and/or recklessness of the defendants, Mr. DeBord has and will be in the future hindered from attending and performing his usual daily duties, activities, and recreational and social pursuits.
- 43. Mr. DeBord's harm, injuries, suffering, and damages are all a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned negligence, carelessness, and/or recklessness of the defendants.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Guy M. DeBord, demands judgment in his favor and against defendants, Perdue Farms, Inc., Perdue Foods LLC, Perdue Foods, Inc., Perdue Agribusiness LLC, and, Perdue Agribusiness Grain LLC, in an amount in excess of seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000.00), together with costs, interest, compensatory damages, punitive damages, and all other damages allowed by law.

<u>COUNT II - NEGLIGENCE</u> GUY M. DeBORD v. ANTHONY COTTON, SR.

- 59. Plaintiff incorporates, by reference, all preceding paragraphs, as if set forth herein at length.
- 60. The injuries sustained by Mr. DeBord were caused by, and resulted from the negligence, carelessness, and/or recklessness of Anthony Cotton, Sr., jointly and/or severally.
- 61. The negligence, carelessness, and/or recklessness of Anthony Cotton, jointly and/or severally, consisted of, but is not limited to, the following:
 - Failing to operate a truck with due regard for the rights and/or safety of Plaintiff
 and others similarly situated;
 - b. Failing to operate a truck with due regard for the conditions which were existing and of which Anthony Cotton was or reasonably should have been aware of at the time of this accident;
 - c. Failing to have said truck under proper and adequate control at the time of the accident;

- d. Backing the truck in issue into the dock when it was not safe to do so;
- e. Failing to follow Mr. DeBord's hand signals when Anthony Cotton knew or should have known it was required to follow Mr. DeBord's hand signals;
- f. Failing to follow Mr. DeBord's hand signals when Anthony Cotton knew or should have known that it was necessary to follow Mr. DeBord's hand signals to prevent injury to persons in Mr. DeBord's position;
- g. Backing the subject truck into Mr. DeBord's hand;
- h. Moving the truck prior to being permitted to move the truck;
- i. Failing to keep a proper lookout;
- j. Failing to maintain said truck in proper operating condition;
- k. Failing to inspect said truck;
- 1. Failing to be aware of the area around the truck before moving it;
- m. Failing to follow procedures of the loading dock area;
- n. Failing to ensure no individuals were in the vicinity of the truck when it was moved;
- o. Disregarding warnings against moving the truck;
- p. Backing in unsafely;
- q. Failing to adopt and follow proper policies and procedures;
- r. Operating a commercial truck when he was not authorized and was not capable of safely operating the truck at the time of the accident;
- s. Violating Part 383 of the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations, concerning commercial driver's license standards, requirements, and penalties;
- t. Violating Part 385 of the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations, concerning safety fitness procedures of motor carriers;

- u. Violating Part 390 of the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations, concerning general safety regulations;
- v. Violating Part 392 of the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations, concerning the driving of commercial motor vehicles; and
- W. Otherwise failing to exercise due care when it was reasonably foreseeable that
 Cotton's failure to do so threatened the health and safety of persons in Mr.
 DeBord's position.
- 62. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned negligence, carelessness, and/or recklessness of Anthony Cotton, individually and in his capacity as an agent, representative, servant, and/or workman of Perdue, Mr. DeBord suffered serious and permanent personal injuries, including, but not limited to, a crush injury to his right hand resulting in numerous fractures, lacerations, and soft tissue damage.
- 63. The injuries Mr. DeBord sustained will result in permanent limitations and restrictions.
- 64. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned negligence, carelessness, and/or recklessness of Anthony Cotton, medical bills have been generated in the past and will continue to be generated into the future as a result of the necessary treatment of Mr. DeBord's injuries.
- 65. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned negligence, carelessness, and/or recklessness of Anthony Cotton, Mr. DeBord has suffered embarrassment, humiliation, disfigurement, pain, and suffering.
- 66. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned negligence, carelessness, and/or recklessness of Anthony Cotton, Mr. DeBord has suffered in the past the loss of wage-

- related income and benefits and will continue to suffer in the future the loss of wages and impairment of his earning capacity and power.
- 67. As a result of the aforementioned negligence, and carelessness, and/or recklessness of Anthony Cotton, Mr. DeBord has and will be in the future be hindered from attending and performing his usual daily duties, activities, and recreational and social pursuits.
- 68. Mr. DeBord's harm, injuries, suffering, and damages are all a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned negligence, carelessness, and/or recklessness of Anthony Cotton.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Guy M. DeBord, demands judgment in his favor and against defendant, Anthony Cotton, in an amount in excess of seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000.00), together with costs, interest, compensatory damages, punitive damages, and all other damages allowed by law.

COUNT III – LOSS OF CONSORTIUM LUCINDA S. DeBORD v. DEFENDANTS

- 69. Lucinda S. DeBord incorporates by reference, all preceding paragraphs above as though the same were set forth herein at length.
- 70. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiffs Guy M. DeBord and Lucinda S. DeBord were and are married.

As a result of the incident in question, Mrs. DeBord suffered the loss of consortium, companionship, society, assistance, and solace previously existing between herself and her husband and she has also endured great marital distress as a consequence.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Lucinda S. DeBord, demands judgment in her favor and against defendants in an amount in excess of seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000.00), together with costs, interest, compensatory damages, punitive damages, and all other damages allowed by law.

FULGINITI LAW

BY:

KEN FULGINITI, ESQUIRE SARAH F. DOOLEY, ESQUIRE Attorneys for Plaintiffs

DATE: May 1, 2025